Description of the Blind Review Process

The Director, possibly with the assistance of the Editorial Board, provides a first evaluation of acceptance regarding the received submission. Such an evaluation focuses on the originality and the methodological rigor of the submission and on its consistency with the standards of scholarship prescribed by the field.

If the evaluation is positive, the submission undergoes a process of double-blind peer review. The submission is sent anonymously to two external referees.

Specifically, the referees are to evaluate: the originality and the methodological rigor of the contribution, its consistence with the standards of scholarship prescribed by the field, the clarity of the advanced theses, and the appropriateness of language and references.

In the case that both reviews are positive, the article is published consequent to its possible revisions as requested by the reviewers. Whether the changes made by the author satisfy the referees’ requests is a matter assessed by the Director or, when the Director deems it necessary, by the reviewers.

In the case that both reviews are negative, the submission is not accepted for publication. In the case when the two reviews provide opposite assessments, a third evaluation is sought. If after the third evaluation the decision is still uncertain, the decision concerning publication is made by the Director.

The reviews are sent anonymously to the authors regardless of whether revisions are required.

The Director guarantees the anonymity of the referees as well as of the authors.